Review Article

Interdisciplinary Coupling in Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO): Challenges and Innovative Solutions

Vikram Singh

M Tech Student, Department of Structural Engineering, Jamia Millia Islamia (JMI), New Delhi, India

I N F O

A B S T R A C T

Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO) is a powerful computational approach for optimizing complex engineering systems by integrating multiple disciplines. One of the fundamental challenges in MDO is interdisciplinary coupling, which arises due to interdependencies between different subsystems. These couplings introduce significant computational complexity, data integration issues, uncertainty propagation, and convergence difficulties, making optimization challenging. This review article explores the key challenges associated with interdisciplinary coupling in MDO, including computational costs, model uncertainties, and disciplinary conflicts. Additionally, the paper highlights innovative solutions such as surrogate modeling, machine learning-based acceleration techniques, multi-fidelity modeling, coupled solvers, and blockchain-enabled data sharing. Recent advancements in aerospace, automotive, and civil engineering applications demonstrate the effectiveness of these techniques in overcoming interdisciplinary coupling issues. The study concludes that integrating adaptive artificial intelligence-driven MDO frameworks can further streamline interdisciplinary collaboration and enhance optimization efficiency. Future research should focus on improving computational scalability, uncertainty quantification, and real-time data integration in MDO systems.

Keywords: Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO), Interdisciplinary Coupling, Computational Complexity, Surrogate Modeling, Multi-Fidelity Modeling

Introduction

Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO) is a computational framework that enables the integration and simultaneous optimization of multiple disciplines within complex engineering systems.[1] Traditional design methods often adopt a sequential approach, where each discipline is optimized independently before passing the results to the next stage. However, this method can lead to suboptimal solutions, as it fails to account for the interdependencies between different disciplines. MDO addresses this limitation by considering all relevant disciplines simultaneously, allowing for a more holistic and efficient design process.[2] By leveraging advanced mathematical models and computational techniques, MDO facilitates the development of high-performance systems that meet multiple, often conflicting, objectives while satisfying various design constraints.

17

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

One of the defining characteristics of MDO is interdisciplinary coupling, which refers to the interactions between different subsystems within an optimized design framework. These interactions can be either strongly coupled, where changes in one discipline significantly impact another, requiring iterative optimization strategies, or weakly coupled, where disciplines influence each other minimally, allowing for more decoupled approaches.[3] While interdisciplinary coupling enables comprehensive system-level optimization, it also introduces several challenges, including high computational costs, data integration issues, model uncertainty propagation, optimization convergence difficulties, and conflicting disciplinary objectives.[4] The computational complexity arises from the need to solve large-scale, non-linear optimization problems, often involving high-fidelity simulations that demand significant processing power. Moreover, data integration remains a persistent challenge, as different disciplines frequently use distinct simulation tools, modeling languages, and data formats, requiring seamless communication and compatibility between them.[5]

Model uncertainty and its propagation across the coupled system further complicate MDO, as inaccuracies in one domain can have cascading effects on overall system performance. Additionally, the interdisciplinary nature of MDO often leads to conflicting objectives among different disciplinary teams, necessitating trade-off strategies and collaborative decision-making frameworks.[6] These challenges highlight the need for innovative computational strategies and optimization architectures to improve the efficiency and feasibility of MDO frameworks. Recent advancements in machine learning, surrogate modeling, multi-fidelity modeling, coupled solvers, and block-chain-based data sharing have shown promising results in addressing these challenges.[7] These methodologies not only enhance computational efficiency but also improve solution accuracy, convergence rates, and the reliability of optimization outcomes.

The increasing demand for lightweight and fuel-efficient aerospace structures, high-performance automotive designs, smart civil infrastructure, and optimized energy systems has further propelled the development of MDO. In aerospace engineering, MDO plays a crucial role in the integrated design of aircraft aerodynamics, structural mechanics, and propulsion systems, enabling significant weight reduction and improved fuel efficiency.[8] Similarly, in the automotive sector, MDO is employed to optimize vehicle aerodynamics, crashworthiness, and material composition, leading to enhanced safety and energy efficiency.[9] In civil engineering, MDO aids in designing resilient and sustainable buildings and bridges by integrating structural mechanics, material science, and environmental impact assessments.[10] The growing adoption of MDO across these industries underscores the need for more robust frameworks capable of handling highly coupled, multi-objective design problems.

Given these challenges and advancements, this article aims to explore the fundamental issues associated with interdisciplinary coupling in MDO while presenting innovative computational solutions that enhance its efficiency and effectiveness. It provides a detailed analysis of state-of-the-art methodologies, their applications, and future research directions that can drive further improvements in MDO. By addressing key obstacles such as computational scalability, uncertainty quantification, and real-time interdisciplinary data exchange, this study contributes to the ongoing evolution of MDO as a crucial tool for designing next-generation engineering systems.

Understanding Interdisciplinary Coupling in MDO

Interdisciplinary coupling in Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO) refers to the complex interdependencies between various disciplines within a system. Unlike single-discipline optimization, where objectives and constraints are confined to a specific field, MDO considers the mutual influence of multiple disciplines, leading to a more comprehensive and integrated optimization approach.[11] These couplings define how different components interact, influencing design variables, performance metrics, and optimization constraints. The nature and strength of these couplings significantly impact the computational efficiency and effectiveness of MDO frameworks.

Types of Interdisciplinary Coupling

Interdisciplinary coupling in MDO can be categorized into different forms based on the level of interaction and the nature of dependencies between disciplines:

Strong Coupling: In strongly coupled systems, different disciplines exhibit high interdependence, requiring iterative feedback loops to achieve an optimal solution. A change in one discipline can lead to substantial changes in another, necessitating frequent re-evaluation of the entire system. This type of coupling is commonly observed in aerospace engineering, where aerodynamics, structures, and propulsion must be optimized simultaneously to achieve a balanced design.[12] Solving strongly coupled problems often demands computationally intensive techniques, such as bi-level or fully coupled optimization architectures, to ensure consistency between disciplines.

Weak Coupling: In weakly coupled systems, the interaction between disciplines is minimal, allowing for parallel processing and decoupled optimization approaches. While changes in one discipline may influence another, the impact is relatively minor, and the optimization can be conducted with fewer iterative exchanges. Weak coupling is often seen in automotive design, where structural and aerodynamic optimizations can be handled separately with only periodic information exchange.[4] This approach is computationally

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

18

more efficient but may require coarse-grained integration strategies to maintain overall system feasibility.

Explicit vs. Implicit Coupling: Some interdisciplinary interactions are explicitly defined using mathematical models, constraint functions, and system equations, allowing for direct computation of dependencies. In contrast, implicit coupling arises when interdependencies emerge dynamically through constraints, interactions, or simulation-based analyses without direct analytical formulation. For instance, in structural health monitoring, explicit coupling might involve predefined stress-strain relationships, whereas implicit coupling could emerge from real-time data-driven predictions of material degradation.[5] Managing implicit coupling requires robust uncertainty quantification and adaptive modeling techniques to ensure accurate optimization results.

Challenges in Managing Interdisciplinary Coupling

Understanding and effectively managing interdisciplinary coupling is crucial for developing efficient optimization strategies in MDO. However, the presence of strong and implicit couplings presents several computational and methodological challenges, including:

- **Increased Computational Costs:** Strongly coupled systems often require iterative solvers and high-fidelity simulations, leading to significant computational expenses. Parallel computing and surrogate modeling techniques are commonly used to mitigate these costs.[6]
- **Data Integration Complexity:** Different disciplines use varied data formats, simulation tools, and modeling paradigms, making seamless data exchange a challenging task. Interoperable frameworks, such as multi-fidelity modeling, can help bridge these gaps.[7]
- **Propagation of Uncertainties:** In coupled MDO systems, uncertainties in one discipline can propagate to others, affecting the accuracy and reliability of the final design. Techniques such as robust optimization and reliability-based design optimization (RBDO) are used to mitigate this issue.[8]
- **Optimization Convergence Issues:** The iterative nature of strongly coupled MDO problems often leads to slow convergence, requiring advanced decomposition-based techniques such as collaborative optimization and bi-level coordination strategies to enhance computational efficiency.[9]
- **Conflicting Disciplinary Objectives:** Different subsystems may have opposing design requirements, necessitating trade-off strategies and multi-objective optimization frameworks to achieve a balanced solution.[10]

The Role of Effective Coupling Strategies in MDO

To address these challenges, researchers and engineers employ various strategies to optimize interdisciplinary interactions while minimizing computational overhead. Some of the most effective techniques include:

- **Decomposition-Based Optimization:** Breaking down the MDO problem into smaller, manageable subproblems to facilitate parallel execution and reduce computational complexity.
- **Surrogate Modeling:** Using machine learning-based approximation models to replace expensive high-fidelity simulations while maintaining solution accuracy.
- **Multi-Fidelity Modeling:** Integrating high- and low-fidelity models to balance computational cost and accuracy in coupled simulations.
- Blockchain-Based Secure Data Exchange: Implementing decentralized, secure frameworks for real-time interdisciplinary data sharing to improve consistency and integration.

By carefully selecting appropriate coupling strategies and optimization methodologies, engineers can significantly improve the efficiency and reliability of MDO processes, enabling the development of more advanced, lightweight, and high-performance systems. The next section explores the key challenges that arise due to interdisciplinary coupling in MDO and presents cutting-edge solutions aimed at overcoming these obstacles.

Key Challenges in Interdisciplinary Coupling

Interdisciplinary coupling in Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO) presents a range of challenges that complicate the optimization process. These challenges stem from the computational burden, data inconsistencies, model uncertainties, convergence issues, and coordination difficulties between multiple disciplines. Addressing these issues is critical for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of MDO frameworks. This section explores these key challenges in detail and highlights their impact on system-level optimization.

Computational Complexity

One of the most significant challenges in MDO is the high computational cost associated with solving complex, coupled optimization problems. As multiple disciplines interact, the system requires large-scale simulations, iterative solvers, and sensitivity analyses to ensure that all constraints and objectives are met. The use of high-fidelity models, such as Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) for aerodynamics or Finite Element Analysis (FEA) for structural integrity, further exacerbates computational demands.

The computational cost increases due to:

- **Iterative Optimization:** Strongly coupled systems require multiple iterations to converge, significantly increasing computational time.
- **High-Dimensionality:** The number of design variables and constraints grows with increasing disciplinary

19

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

interactions, making the optimization process more complex.

- **Real-Time Constraints:** Many engineering applications, such as autonomous vehicles and adaptive aerospace structures, require near real-time optimization, adding further computational pressure.

Addressing computational complexity requires efficient decomposition strategies, parallel computing architectures, surrogate modeling, and adaptive multi-fidelity modeling approaches to reduce the computational burden while maintaining accuracy.

Data Integration and Consistency

Different disciplines in MDO often rely on distinct modeling approaches, simulation tools, and data formats, making seamless data exchange and consistency a major challenge [8]. For instance, aerodynamics may rely on CFD simulations, while structural engineers use FEA models. These models often have incompatible formats, resolution scales, and parameter definitions, leading to data inconsistencies and communication barriers between disciplines.

Challenges in data integration include:

- **Heterogeneous Data Formats:** Different tools generate data in varied formats (e.g., CAD models, mesh data, and discrete simulation outputs), requiring conversion and standardization.
- **Loss of Information:** Incompatible disciplinary tools may lead to loss of critical design parameters when transferring data between systems.
- **Time Synchronization Issues:** Some disciplines may operate at different timescales, requiring interpolation or extrapolation techniques for integration.

Potential solutions to these challenges involve developing standardized data exchange protocols, utilizing cloud-based collaborative platforms, and integrating blockchain-based secure data-sharing mechanisms to ensure data consistency and traceability in MDO frameworks.

Model Uncertainty and Propagation

Uncertainty is an inherent challenge in MDO, where uncertainties in one discipline can propagate through the system, affecting the reliability of the overall optimization outcome.[9] These uncertainties arise from modeling assumptions, material properties, external environmental conditions, and numerical approximations.

Key concerns related to uncertainty include:

- **Uncertainty Propagation:** A minor inaccuracy in one subsystem (e.g., an aerodynamic simulation) can magnify errors in other coupled disciplines (e.g., structural stress analysis).
- **Limited Data Availability:** Lack of sufficient experimental data or high-fidelity simulation results can lead to inaccurate surrogate models, affecting optimization results.
- **Robustness and Reliability:** Ensuring that optimized designs remain robust against variations in input parameters is essential for practical implementation.

To mitigate these effects, techniques such as probabilistic modeling, stochastic optimization, reliability-based design optimization (RBDO), and robust optimization approaches can be employed to quantify and manage uncertainties effectively.

Optimization Convergence Issues

MDO problems often involve high-dimensional, non-linear, and multi-objective optimization functions, making convergence a significant challenge [10]. The presence of strong interdisciplinary couplings increases the likelihood of slow convergence, local optima traps, and infeasible solutions.

Major issues affecting convergence include:

- **Highly Nonlinear Relationships:** Strong couplings introduce complex, non-linear interactions that make it difficult to find global optima.
- **Sensitivity to Initial Conditions:** The choice of initial design parameters can have a major impact on whether an optimization process converges to an optimal solution.
- **Computational Scalability:** As the number of disciplines and design variables increases, the optimization process becomes more difficult to scale effectively.

Several strategies can improve optimization convergence, including gradient-based methods, hybrid metaheuristic approaches (e.g., genetic algorithms with local search refinements), surrogate-assisted optimization, and adaptive penalty function methods for handling constraints more efficiently.

Disciplinary Conflicts and Collaboration

MDO inherently involves multiple disciplines working together, often leading to conflicting objectives and methodologies. Each discipline typically prioritizes its own performance criteria, which may not align with system-level objectives.[11] For instance, an aerodynamics team may prioritize reducing drag, while the structural engineering team focuses on maximizing strength, leading to trade-off conflicts.

Challenges in interdisciplinary collaboration include:

- **Conflicting Design Objectives:** Different teams may have competing goals that require trade-offs and negotiation.
- **Lack of Unified Decision Frameworks:** Without a structured decision-making process, reaching a consensus on design choices becomes difficult.

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

20

- **Communication Barriers:** Teams from different disciplines may use specialized terminology and methodologies, making cross-disciplinary collaboration challenging.

To resolve these issues, multi-objective optimization techniques, collaborative decision-making frameworks, game-theoretic approaches, and real-time interactive optimization tools can be implemented. Additionally, fostering a culture of interdisciplinary collaboration through integrated workflow platforms and cross-domain training programs can significantly improve communication and decision-making in MDO environments.

Innovative Solutions for Interdisciplinary Coupling

To address the challenges posed by interdisciplinary coupling in MDO, innovative computational and methodological advancements are required. These solutions focus on reducing computational costs, improving optimization efficiency, handling uncertainties, and ensuring secure interdisciplinary data exchange. This section explores key state-of-the-art approaches that have demonstrated significant improvements in managing interdisciplinary interactions in MDO.

Surrogate Modeling and Reduced-Order Models (ROMs)

High-fidelity simulations, such as Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and Finite Element Analysis (FEA), are computationally expensive, making real-time MDO impractical. Surrogate modeling and Reduced-Order Models (ROMs) serve as efficient alternatives by approximating high-fidelity simulations while maintaining reasonable accuracy.[12] These models use statistical and machine learning techniques to predict system responses, reducing computational costs.

Common surrogate modeling techniques include:

- **Kriging Models:** Statistical regression-based models that provide accurate predictions with uncertainty quantification.
- **Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs):** Machine learning-based models that learn complex nonlinear relationships from training data.
- **Polynomial Response Surfaces:** Regression-based approximations that offer fast evaluations for smooth response functions.
- **Gaussian Process Regression:** A probabilistic approach for modeling complex and uncertain systems.

By implementing surrogate models, MDO frameworks can perform thousands of design evaluations within seconds, enabling faster convergence and real-time decision-making in aerospace, automotive, and energy systems.

Machine Learning for Optimization Acceleration

Machine learning (ML) techniques are revolutionizing MDO by enhancing optimization speed, adaptability, and predictive capabilities. Traditional MDO frameworks rely on iterative solvers that can be computationally expensive. ML-based methods, such as deep learning, reinforcement learning, and Bayesian optimization, can accelerate the search for optimal solutions.

Key applications of ML in MDO include:

- **Reinforcement Learning (RL):** Uses trial-and-error learning to improve decision-making in dynamic optimization problems.
- **Deep Learning (DL):** Neural networks can learn complex optimization landscapes, allowing rapid identification of promising design candidates.
- **Bayesian Optimization:** Efficiently explores high-dimensional design spaces by balancing exploration and exploitation.
- **Gradient-Based and Gradient-Free ML Algorithms:** Used to optimize non-differentiable and highly constrained problems.

By integrating ML with physics-based simulations, MDO can achieve better convergence rates, reduced computational costs, and improved adaptability to design uncertainties.

Multi-Fidelity Modeling Approaches

Multi-fidelity modeling (MFM) is a powerful strategy that combines low-fidelity and high-fidelity models to balance computational efficiency and accuracy in MDO. Instead of relying exclusively on costly high-resolution simulations, MFM frameworks intelligently switch between models of varying fidelities to optimize resource utilization.

Types of multi-fidelity approaches include:

- **Hierarchical Fidelity Models:** Employing coarse-grained models for preliminary analysis before refining results using high-fidelity simulations.
- **Adaptive Fidelity Switching:** Dynamically selecting the appropriate model fidelity based on optimization progress.
- **Hybrid Data Fusion:** Integrating experimental data, physics-based models, and machine learning predictions for improved accuracy.

By leveraging multi-fidelity approaches, MDO frameworks can achieve near-optimal solutions with a fraction of the computational cost, making them ideal for applications in aircraft design, energy systems, and structural engineering.

Coupled Solvers and Partitioning Methods

Handling interdisciplinary interactions effectively requires advanced coupled solvers and partitioning techniques. Traditional monolithic solvers often struggle with scalability

21

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

and computational efficiency, making decomposition-based methods more attractive.

Key approaches include:

- **Bi-Level Optimization:** Decomposes the MDO problem into a hierarchical structure, separating system-level and disciplinary-level optimizations.
- **Collaborative Optimization (CO):** Encourages distributed computing, where individual disciplines solve local subproblems while ensuring global feasibility.
- **Analytical Target Cascading (ATC):** A hierarchical decomposition method that propagates design targets across multiple disciplines.
- **Domain Decomposition Methods (DDMs):** Divide the system into independent subdomains, each solved separately before integrating results.

These methods allow MDO frameworks to efficiently handle complex, strongly coupled systems, improving both solution accuracy and computational performance.

Robust and Reliability-Based Optimization

Uncertainty is a major challenge in MDO, as variations in material properties, environmental conditions, and modeling assumptions can lead to unreliable designs. Robust Optimization (RO) and Reliability-Based Design Optimization (RBDO) are two powerful techniques used to enhance the reliability and robustness of MDO solutions.

- **Robust Optimization (RO):** Focuses on optimizing designs that maintain performance across a range of uncertain conditions. It ensures that solutions remain stable even under variations in input parameters.
- **Reliability-Based Design Optimization (RBDO):** Incorporates probabilistic constraints and failure risk assessments into the optimization process, ensuring that solutions meet predefined reliability thresholds.
- **Stochastic Programming:** Uses probability distributions to model uncertain parameters and derive optimal decisions.
- **Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS):** A statistical method for assessing the impact of uncertainties on system performance.

These techniques are particularly useful in aerospace engineering, automotive design, and biomedical applications, where safety and reliability are paramount.

Blockchain and Secure Data Sharing

Interdisciplinary MDO frameworks often face challenges related to data security, traceability, and integrity, especially when multiple stakeholders collaborate on a project. Blockchain technology offers a novel solution by enabling secure, decentralized data exchange across disciplines.

Key benefits of blockchain for MDO include:

- **Data Integrity and Transparency:** Blockchain ensures that design modifications and simulation results are tamper-proof and traceable.
- **Decentralized Collaboration:** Engineers across different organizations can securely share data without relying on centralized servers.
- **Smart Contracts:** Automate validation processes, ensuring compliance with interdisciplinary constraints.
- **Access Control Mechanisms:** Regulate permissions for different teams, allowing controlled data sharing.

By integrating blockchain with MDO, organizations can enhance interdisciplinary coordination, improve trust among collaborators, and streamline data exchange processes, making it a promising solution for large-scale engineering projects.

Case Studies and Applications

Aerospace Engineering

MDO is widely used in aircraft design, optimizing aerodynamics, structural integrity, and propulsion systems simultaneously.

Automotive Industry

Lightweight vehicle design involves coupling structural, thermal, and aerodynamic considerations to enhance fuel efficiency and safety.

Civil Engineering and Smart Structures

MDO aids in optimizing smart infrastructure by integrating materials science, structural mechanics, and environmental factors.

Conclusion

Interdisciplinary coupling in MDO is both a challenge and an opportunity. The integrated nature of modern engineering design presents unique complexities that require the simultaneous consideration of multiple interdependent disciplines. However, this complexity also opens the door for innovative solutions that can drive significant improvements in overall system performance.

By leveraging advanced techniques such as surrogate modeling, machine learning, multi-fidelity modeling, robust optimization, coupled solvers, and even blockchain-based data sharing, researchers and engineers have made great strides in overcoming computational and data integration barriers. These innovative methods reduce the computational load of high-fidelity simulations, enable rapid evaluations through efficient approximations, and help manage uncertainties and conflicting disciplinary objectives. As demonstrated throughout this article, each solution contributes to a more integrated and effective MDO framework, ultimately leading to designs that are not only optimized for performance but also robust and reliable.

Singh V
J. Engr. Desg. Anal. 2025; 8(1)

22

Furthermore, the incorporation of AI-driven methodologies promises to transform MDO even further. Adaptive algorithms that can dynamically adjust model fidelity, optimize resource allocation, and facilitate real-time data exchange across disciplines are poised to streamline interdisciplinary collaboration. This next generation of MDO frameworks will be capable of addressing complex design challenges more efficiently, reducing time-to-market and increasing the overall reliability of engineering systems.

Future research should focus on developing these adaptive, AI-driven MDO frameworks and on validating their performance across diverse real-world applications, ranging from aerospace and automotive engineering to smart infrastructure and energy systems. Key areas for further investigation include reducing computational costs, improving model accuracy, and establishing standardized protocols for data sharing. Collaborative efforts among academic institutions, industry partners, and government agencies will be essential in pushing the boundaries of what is possible in MDO.

Ultimately, while interdisciplinary coupling introduces significant challenges, it also serves as a catalyst for innovation in design optimization. The continued evolution of computational tools and optimization techniques will enable engineers to develop more efficient, scalable, and reliable systems. This synergy between challenges and innovations is set to drive the next generation of engineering breakthroughs, establishing new benchmarks in design performance and operational excellence.

References

1. Martins JR, Lambe AB. Multidisciplinary design optimization: a survey of architectures. AIAA journal. 2013 Sep;51(9):2049-75.

2. Sobieszczanski-Sobieski J, Haftka RT. Multidisciplinary aerospace design optimization: survey of recent developments. Structural optimization. 1997 Aug;14:1-23.

3. Balling RJ, Sobieszczanski-Sobieski J. Optimization of coupled systems-a critical overview of approaches. AIAA journal. 1996 Jan;34(1):6-17.

4. Alexandrov NM, Hussaini MY, editors. Multidisciplinary design optimization: State of the art.

5. Haftka RT, Gürdal Z. Elements of structural optimization. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012 Dec 6.

6. Martins JR, Lambe AB. Multidisciplinary design optimization: a survey of architectures. AIAA journal. 2013 Sep;51(9):2049-75.

7. Simpson TW, Poplinski JD, Koch PN, Allen JK. Metamodels for computer-based engineering design: survey and recommendations. Engineering with computers. 2001 Jul;17:129-50.

8. Sobieszczanski-Sobieski J, Agte JS, Sandusky Jr RR. Bilevel integrated system synthesis. AIAA journal. 2000 Jan;38(1):164-72.

9. Forrester A, Sobester A, Keane A. Engineering design via surrogate modelling: a practical guide. John Wiley & Sons; 2008 Sep 15.

10. Martins JR, Ning A. Engineering design optimization. Cambridge University Press; 2021 Nov 18.

11. Tosserams S, Etman LF, Rooda JE. Augmented Lagrangian coordination for distributed optimal design in MDO. International journal for numerical methods in engineering. 2008 Mar 26;73(13):1885-910.

12. Meng D, Li YF, Huang HZ, Wang Z, Liu Y. Reliability-based multidisciplinary design optimization using subset simulation analysis and its application in the hydraulic transmission mechanism design. Journal of Mechanical Design. 2015 May 1;137(5):051402.